Impact of Gate Oxide Thickness and Channel Thickness on DC Performance of Carbon Nanotube Tunnel FET

Kalyani S. Bhosale, Sayali R. Aher, Harshad S. Borse, Ashish V. Jawake, Subhash R. Patil and Ganesh C. Patil^{*}

*Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering JSPMs Rajarshi Shahu College of Engineering Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune, India. Email: ksb9790@gmail.com, pganesh@rscoe.org

ABSTRACT

Carbon nanotube is being considered as one of the promising alternative material to the conventional silicon technology due to its exceptional material properties. In this work the impact of variations in gate oxide thickness $(t_{\rm ox})$, physical gate length $(L_{\rm G})$, CNT diameter and energy gap of a double gate p-i-n carbon nanotube Tunnel FET has been studied on the DC performance parameters namely ON-state current $(I_{\rm ON})$, OFF-state current $(I_{\rm OFF})$ and the static power dissipation $(P_{\rm stat})$. A coupled mode space approach has been used to solve Schrödinger equations with open boundary by means of the non-equilibrium Green's function (NEGF) formalism. A 3D Poisson solver NANOTCAD *ViDES* has been used for simulations.

Keywords: carbon nanotube, tunnel FET, gate oxide thickness, channel thickness, DC performance

1 INTRODUCTION

In the last few decades, due to scaling of MOSFET, smaller and faster transistors have attracted the attention of various research groups [1]. However, the scaling down of conventional complementary-metal-oxide-semiconductor (CMOS) technology is suffering from fundamental limitations mainly scaling of the physical device parameters, supply voltage, increase in the leakage current etc.

To overcome these problems, novel engineering solutions such as improving the device architecture, introducing new materials into the channel region with superior transport properties (SiGe, Ge, etc.), and new gate dielectrics (high- κ) have been suggested [2]-[7]. Tunnel FET (TFET) is one such prominent device which has surpassed CMOS at low voltages [8]. This is mainly because of the current mechanism in TFET viz bandto-band-tunneling (BTBT), first observed by Zener [9], where the charge carriers transfer from one energy band into another at a heavily doped pn junction [10]. The interband tunneling in TFET can be switched ON and OFF at once by controlling the band bending near the source-channel junction via gate biasing.

Due to limitations in silicon technology and for enhanced device performance, researchers explored various new carbon material based devices [11]-[15]. Amongst them carbon nanotubes (CNT) have demonstrated the largest on-state drive current ($I_{\rm ON}$) in terms of scaling [8] and are considered as a promising alternative material to the conventional silicon technology by ITRS [1]. CNTs have a direct bandgap [16] thus making BTBT possible in ballistic transport mode.



Figure 1: Device structure for double gate p-i-n CNT TFET used in simulations.

In this work a double gate p-i-n carbon nanotube tunnel field effect transistor (DGCNT TFET) has been simulated and a coupled mode space approach has been used [17] to solve Schrödinger equations with open boundary by means of the non-equilibrium Green's function (NEGF) formalism. A 3D Poisson solver NANOTCAD ViDES [18] has been used in order to study the impact of variations in gate oxide thickness (t_{ox}) , physical gate length $(L_{\rm G})$, CNT diameter and energy gap of the DGCNT TFET on ON-state current (I_{ON}) , OFF-state current (I_{OFF}) and the static power dissipation (P_{stat}) of the device. A p_z -orbit atomistic nearest tight-binding method has been used to model the band structure of the CNT channel with a hopping parameter of 2.7eV. The simulation has been carried out at a temperature of 300K.

The organization of rest of the paper is as follows, Section 2 presents the device structure and the simulation methodology used in this work. Section 3 analyzes the DC performance of the simulated device. Finally the conclusion is given in Section 4.

Parameter	Unit	Value
$L_{ m G}$	nm	variable 2 to 5
Gate oxide thickness $(t_{\rm ox})$	nm	variable 2 to 5
Molar fraction used for Source/Drain doping	_	5×10^{-3}

Table 1: Device Parameters

2 DEVICE STRUCTURE AND SIMULATION SETUP

The device structure and the device parameters used in the simulation are shown in Figure 1 and Table 1 respectively.

A (13,0) CNT has been considered for simulation purpose whose channel length $L_{\rm G}$ is varied from 2 nm to 5 nm, by keeping constant source/drain extension length of 5 nm for different gate oxide thicknesses. Silicon dioxide has been used as the gate dielectric material. Four types of zigzag CNTs namely - (11,0) CNT, (13,0) CNT, (17,0) CNT and (19,0) CNT have been simulated to observe the variation of $I_{\rm ON}$ and $I_{\rm OFF}$ with respect to energy gap and diameter of the CNT. The $I_{\rm OFF}$ is defined as the current obtained when $V_{\rm GS} = 0$ V and $V_{\rm DS}$ = 0.3 V whereas $I_{\rm ON}$ is defined as the current obtained when $V_{\rm GS} = 1$ V and $V_{\rm DS} = 0.3$ V.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 2-3 shows the variation of $I_{\rm ON}$ and $I_{\rm OFF}$ of DGCNT TFET as a function of $L_{\rm G}$ for different oxide thicknesses.

From Figure 2 it can be seen that, since reduction in $L_{\rm G}$ causes lowering of the channel barrier [19], the $I_{\rm ON}$



Figure 2: Variation of $I_{\rm ON}$ with $L_{\rm G}$ of (13,0)CNT DGCNT TFET for different $t_{\rm ox}$ at $V_{\rm DS} = 0.3$ V and $V_{\rm GS} = 1$ V.



Figure 3: Variation of I_{OFF} with L_{G} of (13,0)CNT DGCNT TFET for different t_{ox} at $V_{\text{DS}} = 0.3$ V and $V_{\text{GS}} = 1$ V.

of the device improves. Further, from Figure 3 it can be seen that, at lower $L_{\rm G}$ values because of the direct tunneling from source to drain, $I_{\rm OFF}$ of the device increases. In addition it is observed that, as $t_{\rm ox}$ is increased, $I_{\rm ON}$ and $I_{\rm OFF}$ for a particular value of $L_{\rm G}$ decreases.

For $L_{\rm G} = 3$ nm, when $V_{\rm GS}$ was swept from 0 V to 1 V and $V_{\rm DS} = 0.3$ V, the value obtained for $I_{\rm ON}$ was 2.8185×10^{-6} for $t_{\rm ox}$ of 1 nm. This current was reduced to 1.21997×10^{-6} for $t_{\rm ox}$ of 4 nm. Thus, $I_{\rm ON}$ has been reduced by almost 56% for the variation of $t_{\rm ox}$. Similarly, for the same $L_{\rm G}$ and voltage values, we observed 14.5% reduction in $I_{\rm OFF}$ when $t_{\rm ox}$ was varied from 1 nm to 4 nm.



Figure 4: $I_{\rm ON}$ as a function of CNT diameter for $L_{\rm G} = 5$ nm DGCNT TFET. $t_{\rm ox} = 2$ nm, $V_{\rm DS} = 0.3$ V and $V_{\rm GS} = 1$ V.

The diameter of the CNT depends on the chiral vectors (n,m) of the CNT. With increase in the chiralty of the CNT the diameter also increases which in turn affects the conductance of the nanotube, it's density, lattice structure, and other properties. Thus, the conductivity of CNT is a function of their chirality, the degree of twist as well as their diameter. From Figure 4 it can be seen that, increasing CNT diameter improves $I_{\rm ON}$ of the DGCNT TFET. The main reason for the improvement in $I_{\rm ON}$ of the device is that, since quantized states for each atom ring are closer in energy, the electron transport enhances by increasing the diameter of the CNT [19]. Therefore, because of increase in electron transport $I_{\rm ON}$ of the DGCNT TFET improves.

Figure 5 shows variation of the I_{OFF} with the diameter of the CNT. For higher CNT diameter values, as compared to lower CNT diameter values, the valence band edge of channel is closer to the conduction band edge of the source at the same gate field [20]. This causes tunneling between the source and the channel giving rise to the leakage current. Thus, CNTs with a larger diameter demonstrate a higher I_{OFF} .



Figure 5: I_{OFF} as a function of CNT diameter for $L_{\text{G}} = 5 \text{ nm}$ DGCNT TFET. $t_{\text{ox}} = 2 \text{ nm}$, $V_{\text{DS}} = 0.3 \text{ V}$ and $V_{\text{GS}} = 1 \text{ V}$.



Figure 6: $I_{\rm ON}$ as a function of energy gap of CNT for $L_{\rm G} = 5$ nm DG-CNT-TFET. $t_{\rm ox} = 2$ nm, $V_{\rm DS} = 0.3$ V and $V_{\rm GS} = 1$ V.

From Figure 6-7 it can be seen that, increasing the energy gap of CNT, $I_{\rm ON}$ and $I_{\rm OFF}$ of DGCNT TFET reduces. Since the band gap varies inversely with the



Figure 7: I_{OFF} as a function of energy gap of CNT for $L_{\text{G}} = 5 \text{ nm}$ DG-CNT-TFET. $t_{\text{ox}} = 2 \text{ nm}$, $V_{\text{DS}} = 0.3 \text{ V}$ and $V_{\text{GS}} = 1 \text{ V}$.



Figure 8: Variation of P_{stat} with L_{G} of (13,0)CNT DGCNT TFET for different t_{ox} at $V_{\text{DS}} = 0.3$ V and $V_{\text{GS}} = 1$ V.

diameter of the tube, as the energy gap of the CNT increases we observe decrease in the $I_{\rm ON}$ and $I_{\rm OFF}$.

Figure 8 shows the variation of the static power dissipation (P_{stat}) with respect to L_{G} . It can be seen that, P_{stat} decreases with increase in L_{G} . This is mainly because of the reduction in I_{OFF} . For $L_{\text{G}} = 3$ nm, when V_{GS} was swept from 0 V to 1 V and $V_{\text{DS}} = 0.3$ V, P_{stat} decreased by 14.5% showing an improvement in the device performance when t_{ox} was varied from 1 nm to 4 nm.

4 CONCLUSION

In this paper, the effect of varying $t_{\rm ox}$, $L_{\rm G}$, CNT diameter and energy gap of the p-i-n DGCNT TFET on the DC performance parameters namely $I_{\rm ON}$, $I_{\rm OFF}$ and $P_{\rm stat}$ have been studied. It has been observed that, the drain current varies inversely with $t_{\rm ox}$, $L_{\rm G}$ and the energy gap of the p-i-n DGCNT TFET. In addition to this, the drain current is directly proportional to the diameter of the p-i-n DGCNT TFET. Thus, at low operating voltages, strong quantum effects like BTBT is possible in CNTs making them one of the strong contender in the race of novel devices for digital applications.

REFERENCES

- The International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors (ITRS), 2013, [Online]. Available: http://public.itrs.net
- [2] V. Nagavarapu, R. Jhaveri, J.C.S. Woo, "The Tunnel Source (PNPN) n- MOSFET: A Novel High Performance Transistor," IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, vol.55, no.4, pp.1013-1019, April 2008.
- H. Kam, D.T. Lee, R.T. Howe and Tsu-Jae King, "A new nano-electro-mechanical field effect transistor (NEMFET) design for low-power electronics," in: IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting, 2005, pp.463-466, Washington DC, 5-5 Dec. 2005
- [4] K. Boucart and A-M Ionescu, "Double-Gate Tunnel FET With High- Gate Dielectric," IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, vol.54, no.7, pp.1725-1733, July 2007
- [5] W. Y. Choi,B-G Park, Jong-Duk Lee and Tsu-Jae King Liu, "Tunneling Field-Effect Transistors (TFETs) With Subthreshold Swing (SS) Less Than 60 mV/dec," IEEE Electron Device Letters, vol.28, no.8, pp.743-745, Aug. 2007
- [6] A. Padilla, C. W. Yeung, Changhwan Shin, Chenming Hu and Tsu-Jae King Liu, "Feedback FET: A novel transistor exhibiting steep switching behavior at low bias voltages," in: IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting, 2008, pp.1-4, San Francisco CA 15-17 Dec. 2008
- [7] B. Ghosh and M. W. Akram, "Junctionless Tunnel Field Effect Transistor," IEEE Electron Device Letters, vol.34, no.5, pp.584-586, May 2013
- [8] H. Lu and A. Seabaugh, "Tunnel Field-Effect Transistors: State-of-the-Art," IEEE Journal of the Electron Devices Society, vol.2, no.4, pp.44-49, July 2014.
- [9] C. Zener, "A theory of the electrical breakdown of solid dielectrics," Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Character (1934): 523-529.
- [10] A.M. Ionescu and Heike Riel. "Tunnel field-effect transistors as energy-efficient electronic switches," Nature 479.7373 (2011): 329-337.
- [11] J. Appenzeller, Y. Lin, J. Knoch and Ph. Avouris, "Band-to-band Tunneling in Carbon Nanotube Field Effect Transistors," Phys. Rev. Lett., vol. 93, no. 19, p. 196805, Nov. 2004.
- [12] A. Javey, J. Guo, Q. Wang, M. Lundstrom and H. Dai, "Ballistic carbon nanotube field-effect transistors," Nature 424.6949 (2003): 654-657.
- [13] Q. Zhang, Tian Fang, Huili Xing, A. Seabaugh and

D. Jena, "Graphene Nanoribbon Tunnel Transistors," IEEE Electron Device Letters, vol.29, no.12, pp.1344-1346, Dec. 2008

- [14] V. L. Katkov, V. A Osipov, "Planar graphene tunnel field-effect transistor," Applied Physics Letters , vol.104, no.5, pp.053102-4, Feb 2014
- [15] Hao Wang, Sheng Chang, Yue Hu, Hongyu He, Jin He, Qijun Huang, F. He and Gaofeng Wang, "A Novel Barrier Controlled Tunnel FET," IEEE Electron Device Letters, vol.35, no.7, pp.798-800, July 2014
- [16] S. O. Koswatta, D. E. Nikonov and M. S. Lundstrom, "Computational study of carbon nanotube p-i-n tunnel FETs," in: IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting, 2005. IEEE IEDM Technical Digest, vol., no., pp.518-521, 5-5 Dec. 2005.
- [17] G. Fiori, G. Iannaccone and G. Klimeck, "Coupled Mode Space Approach for the Simulation of Realistic Carbon Nanotube Field-Effect Transistors," IEEE Transactions on Nanotechnology, , vol.6, no.4, pp.475-480, July 2007.
- [18] G. Fiori and G. Iannaccone. (2014, Aug. 14). NanoTCAD ViDES [Online]. Available: http://vides.nanotcad.com
- [19] G. Fiori, G. Iannaccone and G. Klimeck, "A Three-Dimensional Simulation Study of the Performance of Carbon Nanotube Field-Effect Transistors With Doped Reservoirs and Realistic Geometry," IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, vol.53, no.8, pp.1782-1788, Aug. 2006.
- [20] Shaahin G. Shirazi and Sattar Mirzakuchaki, "Dependence of carbon nanotube field effect transistors performance on doping level of channel at different diameters: On/off current ratio." Applied Physics Letters 99.26 (2011): 263104.